

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 11/16/2005

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/724,199	12/01/2003	Roy N. Karam	27735.00	1341	
37833 7.	590 11/16/2005		EXAM	EXAMINER	
LITMAN LA	W OFFICES, LTD	TD PRESTON, ERIK D			
PO BOX 15035	5			<u></u>	
CRYSTAL CITY STATION		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
ARLINGTON,	VA 22215		2834		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

_ : _ ·	•	<u> </u>		
:		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
		10/724,199	KARAM, ROY N.	(m)
. :	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
		Erik D. Preston	2834	
 Period for	The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address	
A SHO WHICH - Extensi after SI - If NO p - Failure Any rep	RTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY ALVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE on sof time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 X (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. eriod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, oly received by the Office later than three months after the mailing patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION B6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. mely filed the mailing date of this communicated (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status				
2a)⊠ T	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>14 Oc</u> his action is FINAL . 2b) This Since this application is in condition for allowant losed in accordance with the practice under <i>E</i>	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro	•	s is
Dispositio	n of Claims			
5)□ C 6)図 C 7)□ C	Claim(s) 3-6 is/are pending in the application. a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 3-6 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	•		
Applicatio	n Papers			
	he specification is objected to by the Examiner	r.		
	he drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acce	•	Examiner.	
· :	applicant may not request that any objection to the o			
•	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti	*	•	
11) 11	he oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152	<u>2</u> .
Priority un	der 35 U.S.C. § 119		•	
a)	cknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: Certified copies of the priority documents Certified copies of the priority documents Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureause the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in Applicat ity documents have been receiv ı (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage	
		: :		
2) Notice 3) Informa	of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) ation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:		

Art Unit: 2834

DETAILED ACTION

Drawings

The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, the association of each of the three pairs of diodes being associated with one of said second set of diodes must be shown or the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s). No new matter should be entered.

Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Art Unit: 2834

Specification

The amendment filed 10/14/2003 is objected to under 35 U.S.C. 132(a) because it introduces new matter into the disclosure. 35 U.S.C. 132(a) states that no amendment shall introduce new matter into the disclosure of the invention. The added material which is not supported by the original disclosure is as follows: The boss, ribs, mounting edge, bearing wall and electrical connector mount of claim 3.

Applicant is required to cancel the new matter in the reply to this Office Action.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claims 6 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention.

With respect to claim 3, there was no mention in the specification submitted on 12/01/2003 of: A boss defined on said first end, said boss defining a bearing wall therein; said boss including at least three ribs periodically spaced there around for providing stabilization and support for the bearing wall; said second end defining a

Art Unit: 2834

mounting edge surface, said mounting edge surface having a plurality of through bores; or said side wall defining at least one electrical connector mount.

With respect to claim 6, along with the deficiencies of claim 3, there was no mention in the specification submitted on 12/01/2003 of: Each of the three pairs of diodes being associated with one of said second set of diodes.

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 3-5 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

The term "irregular" in claim 3 is a relative term which renders the claim indefinite. The term "irregular" is not defined by the claim, the specification does not provide a standard for ascertaining the requisite degree, and one of ordinary skill in the art would not be reasonably apprised of the scope of the invention.

Claims 4 & 5 are dependent upon claim 3, and are deficient for at least the reasons as discussed above.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 3-6 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Art Unit: 2834

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Erik D. Preston whose telephone number is 571-272-8393. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday 8-5.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Darren Schuberg can be reached on 571-272-2044. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 2834

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

10/25/2005

DARREN SCHUBERG SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800